Department of Physics Computational Inference Assignment 1 (due 5 Sept by close of play)

- 1. Consider the joint pdf $\pi(x, y, \theta)$ over x, y, and θ . Using Bayes' rule, product factoring, etc, establish the following identities between the pdfs over various conditional and marginal distributions:
 - (a) $\pi(\theta|y) = \frac{\pi(x,\theta|y)}{\pi(x|\theta,y)}$ (This is useful because the RHS may be evaluated at any x for which denominator is non-zero.)
 - (b) $\pi(x,\theta|y) = \pi(x|\theta,y)\pi(\theta|y)$, i.e., we can condition the usual product factoring.
 - (c) $\pi(\theta|y) = \frac{\pi(x, y|\theta) \pi(\theta)}{\pi(x|\theta, y) \pi(y)}$ (Again, RHS may be evaluated at any x for which denominator is non-zero. Useful when conditioning on θ is a GMRF.)
- 2. MatLab code to carry out inference by MCMC for the diffusion coefficient can be found on the module's web page https://coursesupport.physics.otago.ac.nz/wiki/pmwiki.php/Module412
 - (a) Run that code (or python equivalent) and produce a plot of the posterior pdf over D conditioned on the (fake) measured data. Give a best value, and measure of uncertainty.
 - (b) Plot the integrated autocorrelation time versus acceptance rate for this MCMC, by varying the proposal window (for fixed fake data).
 - (c) Modify the formulation and code to allow the final time Tmax to be uncertain, uniformly distributed in the range [1.9, 2.1]. In particular, modify the code to perform joint inference over D and the (nuisance parameter) Tmax, and plot the posterior pdf over D conditioned on the (fake) measured data. Give a best value, and measure of uncertainty. How does the uncertainty compare to the result when Tmax was treated as certain?
- 3. Assume the x_1, x_2, \ldots are a set of samples drawn from some distribution $\pi(x)$ (perhaps by an MCMC) and y = g(x) is some function of x, with corresponding pdf $\pi(y)$ (given by the change of variable formula).
 - (a) Show that $g(x_1), g(x_2), \cdots$ are a set of samples distributed as $\pi(y)$.
 - (b) Use this property to plot distributions over the parameters x and \sqrt{x} in the 'no such thing as best estimate' example from Lecture 1. In particular, set $x_* = 1$ and generate synthetic data

$$d_i \sim N\left(\frac{\sqrt{x_*}}{i}, \sigma^2\right) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots N$$

for some suitably large N and suitable σ^2 . Then write an MCMC to draw samples from $\pi(x|\{d_i\})$, and plot a histogram of this distribution. Plot a histogram of $\pi(\sqrt{x}|\{d_i\})$. Report means, variances, etc. Repeat this for each of the prior distributions $\pi(x) \propto 1$ (i.e. perform inference using the normalized likelihood) and $\pi(x) \propto 1/x$ (the Jeffrey's prior for scale parameter).